SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_3509**
Title of the Manuscript:	**On-farm evaluation of deleafing frequency on the severity of Black Sigatoka Disease (Mycosphaerella fijiensis Morelet) and yield of banana (Musa spp)**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript describes the effect of leaf pruning frequency on the control of black Sigatoka disease and the yield of banana. The main idea of the work is well developed and experimentally tested by authors. The manuscript is well written although it needs to be corrected in letter mistakes that may be the result of typing errors. However, those mistakes are easy to correct and then manuscript should be approved with minor corrections.**	The minors errors have been checked and corrected accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	In lane 10, change sigatoka by Sigatoka. Do the same thing in lanes 42, 47, and 52 and in general review that word is correctly write in through the manuscript. The text in lanes 86-89 is out of format of the manuscript, please justify according with the rest of the manuscript. Please check that references in text are the same that are written in the reference section as Ngatat is missing in the text; also reference for Abadie et a., (2007) has a typing mistake as in text it says Abedie et al., Please through the text make a uniform writing of references and according with the requirements of the journal write it using et al., or et al,	sigatoka is replaced with Sigatoka; Ngatat is included in the text where appropriate under the introduction second paragraph second line. Abadie et al is the correct version of the reference maintained in the text The two points in front of 9-day is deleted in table 1; et al , is chosen and applied across all in the tet

	In Table 1. In column of Pruning frequency delete the points in front of 9-day	
Optional/General comments	No comments	